

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,355	07/17/2003	Ilia Vitsnudel	MIL340-229030	7238	
54042 WOLF, BLOC	7590 04/12/200 EK, SHORR AND SOLI	EXAMINER			
250 PARK AV	ENUE	PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V			
10TH FLOOR NEW YORK, I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			2624		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	ONTHS	S 04/12/2007 PAPER			

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10	)/622,355	VITSNUDEL ET	VITSNUDEL ET AL.			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
			th V. Perungavoor	2624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn of period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNION In no event, however, may a supply and will expire SIX (6) MON e the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .			•			
2a)□			on is non-final.					
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the a	application.	•					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,9 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 5-7 and 10 is/are objected	to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the draw	ring(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)⊠	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	ner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
• •	application from the Internation	• •	, ,,					
	See the attached detailed Office actio	on for a list of tr	ie certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	• •							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-,	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:								

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Oath/Declaration

[1] It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- [2] Claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikeda' et al. ("Ikeda").

Regarding claim 1, Ikeda meets the claim limitations, as follows:

A method for enhancing wide dynamic range in images [fig. 33], the method comprising: acquiring at least two images (i.e. image I and image II) of a scene to be imaged, the images acquired using different exposure times (i.e. proper and excessive)

[figs. 2A-2C and 33; col. 8, ll. 3-6]; constructing for a first image (i.e. image II) of said at least two images (i.e. image I and image II) an illumination mask (i.e. N2) comprising a

set of weight values distinctively identifying respective areas of pixels of high or low illumination, over-exposed or underexposed with respect to a predetermined threshold illumination value (i.e. level of 330), assigning one of the weight values (i.e. 1 or 0) to each pixels, whereas other weight value (i.e. 0 or 1) is assigned to other pixels of the other of said at least two images [figs. 2A-2C and 36A-36B; col. 28, ll. 20-30 and 40-45]; using a low-pass filter (i.e. cosine curve ratio of change) to smooth border zones between pixels of one weight value (i.e. 1 or 0) and pixels of other weight value (i.e. 0 or 1), thus assigning pixels in the border zones new weight values in a range between the weight values [figs. 2A-2C and 36A-36B; col. 28, ll. 20-30 and 40-45] constructing a combined image using image data of pixels assigned with one weight value (i.e. 1) of the first image (i.e. image II) and image data of pixels assigned with other weight value (i.e. 0) of the other (i.e. image I) of said at least two images and in pixels corresponding to the border zones (i.e. transition zones) using image data from said at least two images proportional to the new weight values [fig. 36A and 36B; col. 28, ll. 20-30].

Regarding claim 2, Ikeda meets the claim limitations, as follows:

The method of claim 1, wherein the weight values are binary values (i.e. 0 or 1) [fig. 36A and 36B; col. 28, ll. 20-30].

Regarding claim 8, Ikeda meets the claim limitations, as follows:

The method of claim 1, for color imaging, wherein the steps of claim 1 are carried our separately for each color plane [col. 26, ll. 36-45].

Regarding claim 9, Ikeda meets the claim limitations, as follows:

The method of claim 1, further comprising: detecting (i.e. slightly different) pixels in said at least two images indicative of motion by comparing corresponding image data from said at least two images [col. 27, ll. 15-23]; evaluating image data value (i.e. IN(1)\*N1 ....) for pixels identified as indicative of motion using image data from one of said at least two images and using the image data value in constructing the combined image [col. 28, ll. 20-30].

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- [3] Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda in view of Smith<sup>ii</sup> et al. ("Smith").

Regarding claim 3, Ikeda meets the claim limitations as set forth in claim 1.

Ikeda does not explicitly disclose the following claim limitations:

The method of claim 1, wherein the acquired images are in JPEG format, the JPEG format including a DCT transform domain.

However, in the same field of endeavor Smith discloses the deficient claim limitations, as follows:

The method of claim 1, wherein the acquired images are in JPEG format, the JPEG format including a DCT transform domain [page 2, para. 1].

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Ikeda with Smith to have images in JPEG format, the motivation being reduction in storage [page 1, para. 2].

Regarding claim 4, Smith meets the claim limitations, as follows:

The method of claim 3, wherein the step of constructing the combined image is carried out in the DCT transform domain [page 4, para. 2].

[4] Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda in view of Koseki<sup>iii</sup> et al. ("Koseki").

Regarding claim 11, Ikeda meets the claim limitations as set forth in claim 9.

Ikeda does not explicitly disclose the following claim limitations:

The method of claim 9, wherein the step of constructing a combined image includes using for pixels identified as indicative of motion only image data from one of said at least two images.

However, in the same field of endeavor Koseki discloses the deficient claim limitations, as follows:

The method of claim 9, wherein the step of constructing a combined image includes using for pixels identified as indicative of motion (i.e. motion is detected) only image data from one (i.e. long exposure image) of said at least two images [col. 13, ll. 19-28].

Application/Control Number: 10/622,355

Art Unit: 2624

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Ikeda with Koseki to use only long exposure images and not perform combining of long and short exposure images, the motivation being to prevent an incorrectly combined image from being output [col. 13, ll. 19-28].

Regarding claim 12, Koseki meets the claim limitations, as follows:

The method of claim 11, wherein the image data from one (i.e. short exposure image) of said at least two images is reconstructed (i.e. multiplied by a ratio) to simulate corresponding pixels in the other (i.e. long exposure image) of said at least two images [col. 12, ll. 47-51].

Regarding claim 13, Koseki meets the claim limitations, as follows:

The method of claim 12, wherein using image data from one of said at least two images which was acquired with longest exposure time incorporated in two illumination masks [col. 13, ll. 19-28].

# Allowable Subject Matter

[5] Claims 5-7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

**Contact Information** 

[6] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mr. Sath V. Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571) 272-7455. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Matthew C. Bella whose telephone number is (571) 272-7778, can be reached on Monday to

Friday from 9:00am to 5:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dated: April 7, 2007

Matthew C. Bella Sath V. Perungavoor

Telephone: (571) 272-7455

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker ( Bella

Art Unit: 2624

i US 6,204,881 B1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ii</sup> NPL document titled "A Survey of Compressed Domain Processing Techniques" which incorporates by reference

<sup>&</sup>quot;Algorithms for Manipulating Compressed Images".

iii US 7,098,946 B1